Revisiting Git			Merge vs Rebase	GitLab
00000	000000000000000	000000	000	000

# Git Workflows and Gitlab

#### Micael Oliveira and Martin Lüders

### Octopus Course 2023, MPSD Hamburg

Revisiting Git			Merge vs Rebase	GitLab
00000	000000000000000000000000000000000000000	000000	000	000

# Revisiting Git

Revisiting Git 0●000	Releases and hotfixes	Merge vs Rebase 000	GitLab 000
<u> </u>			

## Commits

What is included in a commit?

- A snapshot of the sources
- A timestamp
- A log message
- Zero or more parent commits

Two commits whose content differ in any way are different commits!

Note 1: A merge commit has two or more parent commits. Note 2: The initial commit has no parent commit.

Revisiting Git 00●00		Releases and hotfixes	Merge vs Rebase 000	GitLab 000
Branches a	and tags			

- A branch can be viewed as a pointer to a commit
- A tag is an immutable pointer to a commit
- Branches and tags are often interchangeable!

#### Example:

- \$ git branch foo bar
- Creates a new branch named foo using bar as a starting point.
- bar can be any commit-ish object: branch, tag, commit, etc.

#### \$ git merge feature



 Revisiting Git
 Git Workflows
 Releases and hotfixes
 Merge vs Rebase

 000000
 0000000
 0000000
 000

Revisiting Git 0000●	Releases and hotfixes	Merge vs Rebase 000	GitLab 000
<b>F</b> . C			

### Fast-forward merges



- No merge commit is created
- Use git merge --no-ff to force creation of merge commit

	Revisiting Git	Git Workflows		Merge vs Rebase	GitLab
0000 000 <b>0000000000000</b> 0000000 00000000	00000	••••••	000000	000	000

# Git Workflows

Git Workflows ⊙●○○○○○○○○○○○○○	Releases and hotfixes	Merge vs Rebase 000	GitLab 000

# Workflows

Why?

- Git is very flexible and powerfull
- Workflows are recipes and recommendations to use git in a consistent way
- They are necessary to develop code in a collaborative way
- The are necessary to prepare releases and hotfixes

Git Workflows	Releases and hotfixes	Merge vs Rebase 000	GitLab 000

# Workflows

Good workflows:

- Scale with the number of developers
- Do not impose any large overhead
- Prevent mistakes or allow to easily fix them

Git Workflows 00000000000000	Releases and hotfixes	Merge vs Rebase 000	GitLab 000

Some assumptions in the following examples:

Prelude

- There is always a remote repository that represents the official project
- Each developer has a local repository
- Unless otherwise stated, local repositories are clones of the official repository

Git Workflows 0000●0000000000	Releases and hotfixes	Merge vs Rebase 000	GitLab 000

# Centralized workflow



Bob



	Git Workflows ०००००●०००००००००	Releases and hotfixes	Merge vs Rebase 000	GitLab 000
Centralized	workflow			

• Changes are published by pushing them to the official repository



	Git Workflows 000000●000000000	Releases and hotfixes	Merge vs Rebase 000	GitLab 000
Centralized	workflow			

- Bob needs to get Anne's changes before publishing his own changes
- A rebase is necessary, otherwise the push would fail





• Each developer can only work on one feature at a time

origin/main

	Git Workflor	ws ●0000000	Releases and hotfixes	Merge vs Rebase 000	GitLab 000

### Feature branch workflow

#### • Feature branches are branched from main





• Feature branches are merged into main





• The result must be published to the official repository



Revisiting Git	Git Workflows	Merge vs Rebase	GitLab
	000000000000000		

# Feature branch workflow

### Bob



	Git Workflows 000000000000000000000000000000000000	Releases and hotfixes	Merge vs Rebase 000	GitLab 000		
Feature branch workflow						

• Always pull latest commits to main before merging!





# Feature branch workflow

### Bob

#### \$ git merge feature2



	Git Workflo	ows 0000000●0	Releases and hotfixes	Merge vs Rebase 000	GitLab 000
_					

### Feature branch workflow

- Work on different features is independent
- Feature branches should be short lived

	Git Workflows 000000000000000	Releases and hotfixes	Merge vs Rebase 000	GitLab 000
Forking wo	orkflow			

- Several web applications like GitHub and GitLab provide forks
- A fork is a server-side copy of the official repository
- A mechanism is provided to merge a branch from the fork into the main repository (pull/merge request)
- Contributors do not need to have write permissions to the official repository
- Can be used with other workflows that use feature branches

Revisiting Git		Releases and hotfixes	Merge vs Rebase	GitLab
00000	0000000000000000	000000	000	000

# Releases and hotfixes

# Interlude: Releases and Hotfixes

- Some software is periodically released for production: production release
- A production release usually includes new features and bugfixes
- Production releases are usually less frequent than the addition of new features (exception: continuous delivery)
- Hotfixes are releases that include only critical bugfixes
- Hotfix releases should not include new features

Revisiting Git	Releases and hotfixes	Merge vs Rebase	GitLab
	000000		

### Interlude: Releases and Hotfixes

How to do releases with git?

- Tags are used to mark releases
- Workflow needs to incorporate some procedure to create the releases and the hotfixes
- Suitable procedure depends on several things. For example:
  - How often one does a new release
  - Is a new production release based on the previous release?
  - How many simultaneous releases are maintained?

	Releases and hotfixes	Merge vs Rebase 000	GitLab 000

- Alternative to GitFlow
- Only one long-lived branch (main)
- Same support branches as GitFlow
- Feature branches are branched from and merged into main

www.endoflineblog.com/oneflow-a-git-branching-model-and-workflow

	Releases and hotfixes	Merge vs Rebase 000	GitLab 000

### Release branches



- Release branch is branched from and merged into main
- Last commit of release branch is tagged

	Releases and hotfixes 00000●0	Merge vs Rebase 000	GitLab 000

### Hotfix branches



- Hotfix branch is branched from last release tag
- Hotfix branch is merged into main
- Last commit of hotfix branch is tagged

	Releases and hotfixes	Merge vs Rebase 000	GitLab 000

- Simpler than GitFlow
- Less merges than GitFlow
- It is able to do all that GitFlow can do
- It is possible to do hotfixes from older releases (exercise: think how this would work)

Revisiting Git			Merge vs Rebase	GitLab
00000	0000000000000000	000000	000	000

# Merge vs Rebase

# Interlude II: Merge vs Rebase



Rebase

Merge:

- Preserves history
- Easy to revert/reset

Rebase:

- Linear history
- Opportunity to clean up the branch history

		Releases and hotfixes	Merge vs Rebase 00●	GitLab 000	
Interlude II: Merge vs Rebase					

- It's a matter of taste!
- Should the git history reflect the "real" development history?

Compromise: only merge if fast-forward was possible



- A rebase is usually needed before merging
- GitLab can enforce this

Revisiting Git			Merge vs Rebase	GitLab
00000	000000000000000	000000	000	000

# $\mathsf{GitLab}$

	Releases and hotfixes	Merge vs Rebase 000	GitLab 0●0

Gitlab

- Hosting service for Git repositories
- Provides forks and merge requests
- Issues: bug reports, feature requests, project tasks, etc
- Provides its own CI service (GitLab CI)
- Other CI services can be used through the public API
- Open source
- Many more features...

	Releases and hotfixes 0000000	Merge vs Rebase 000	GitLab 00●

### Gitlab

### Guided tour

